Chief Judge Marsha J. Pechman 1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 AT SEATTLE 8 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 9 CR06-157 MJP Plaintiff, 10 v. [PROPOSED] 11 ÖRDER CONTINUING HENRY C. ROSENAU, TRIAL 12 Defendant. 13 14 This matter having come on before the undersigned Court on motion of the 15 Government for a continuance of the trial date, 16 Having considered the files and records herein, the Government's Motion and facts 17 contained therein. 18 and Title 18 United States Section 3161(h) which set forth periods of excludable 19 time, including: 20 (3)(A) Any period of delay resulting from the absence of unavailability of 21 . . . an essential witness. 22 (7)(A) Any period of delay resulting from a continuance granted 23 ... or at the request of the attorney for the Government, if the judge granted such continuance on the basis of his findings that the ends of justice 24 served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. No such period of delay resulting from a 25 continuance granted by the court in accordance with this paragraph shall be excludable under this subsection unless the court sets forth, in the record of 26 the case, either orally or in writing, its reasons for finding that the ends of justice served by the granting of such continuance outweigh the best 27 interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 28

The Court further considered Title 18 USC § 3161, subsection (7)(B) listing 1 non-exclusive factors which the Court may consider when determining whether to grant 2 the requested continuance, including: 3 4 (i) Whether the failure to grant such a continuance in the proceeding would be likely to make a continuation of such 5 proceeding impossible, or result in a miscarriage of justice. 6 7 (iv) Whether the failure to grant such a continuance in a case which, taken as a whole, is not so unusual or so complex as to fall within 8 clause (ii), . . . would deny . . . the attorney for the Government the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into 9 account the exercise of due diligence. 10 Another period of time appropriately excluded is: 11 (8) Any period of delay, not to exceed one year, ordered by a district 12 court upon an application of a party and a finding by a preponderance of the evidence that an official request, as defined by section 3292 of this title, 13 has been made for evidence of any such offense and that it reasonably appears, or reasonably appeared at the time the request was made, that such 14 evidence is, or was, in such foreign country. 15 16 Now therefore, the Court finds: 17 Essential witnesses are unavailable to testify in the trial as currently scheduled. 18 The unavailability of several essential government witnesses is sudden, unexpected, and 19 the result of defendant's deliberate actions. 20 It is foreseeable that the essential witnesses will be made available within a 21 reasonable time period, as set forth in Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3161(8) and 22 3292, through the use of additional official requests, including through employment of the 23 Mutual Legal Assistance Treaty. 24 The Government, through the Office of International Affairs, Department of 25 Justice; the United States Attorneys Office, Western District of Washington; the 26 Homeland Security Investigations Office of Department of Homeland Security; and the 27 Homeland Security Attache, United States Consulate, Vancouver, British Columbia, 28

1	Canada, made timely official requests to appropriate Canadian authorities with criminal
2	law enforcement responsibility. Those official requests for testimony, records and
3	evidence appeared to be legally sufficient to produce the necessary testimony and
4	evidence until the defendant's actions of October 20, 2011 and October 25, 2011. The
5	defendant's actions were undertaken solely to thwart the production of witnesses and
6	evidence.
7	Now, therefore, the Court grants the Government's Emergency Motion for a
8	Continuance of the Trial Date. it is reasonable and necessary to continue the trial date in
9	this matter. The ends of justice and the interests of the public are served by the requested
10	continuance.
11	The trial was scheduled for November 7, 2011. The trial will be continued until
12	and the time between November 7, 2011 and
13	is excludable under the Speedy Trial Act.
14	Dated this day of, 2011.
15	
16	
17	MARSHA J. PECHMAN
18	Chief Judge, United States District Court
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
24 25	
25	